

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	O.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/814,920		03/31/2004	Chris Porras	1645(AMF)	6351
30010	7590	06/20/2005		EXAM	INER .
		SON, JR.	KRAMER, DEAN J		
8652 RIO GRANDE ROAD RICHMOND, VA 23229				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
, , , , , ,				3652	
				DATE MAILED: 06/20/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/814,920	PORRAS ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
·	Dean J. Kramer	3652					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
7)☐ Claim(s) <u>7-9</u> is/are rejected. 7)☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.	6) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected.						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	election requirement						
Application Papers	/ e/be-70m	· cap					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>31 March 2004</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4 ,□	(DTO 440)					
) DNotice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)	te					
) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal Pa	atent Application (PTO-152)					
Patent and Trademark Office	O/						

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 9 is an exact duplicate of claim 5.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gopfert.

Gopfert shows an embodiment of a suction lifting assembly in Figures 12 and 13 comprising a plenum (22) and a plurality of cylindrical bellowed suction cups (24) each having a valve stem (42), a valve plate (44), a valve stop (25,40), and a valve seat (29).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3652

5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

6. Claims 2, 4, 5, 7, and 9, as understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gopfert in view of Lundstrom.

Gopfert was presented above in section 3 and substantially shows the invention as set forth in claims 2, 4, 5, 7, and 9 of the instant application except that Gopfert's valve plate (44) has only a single aperture (45):

However, Lundstrom shows it old and well known to provide a plurality of openings through a moveable valve member (see top of tube 6 in Figure 6 and col. 3, lines 50 and 51) creating fluid communication between suction cup (9) and bellows structure (14).

Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a plurality of apertures in Gopfert's valve plate (44) as taught by Lundstrom in order to create a more evenly distributed fluid flow therethrough.

Art Unit: 3652

Double Patenting

Page 4

7. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

8. Claims 1-9 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 3-6 of copending Application No. 10/914,004 in view of Gopfert. Claims 3-6 of application No. 10/914,004 recite substantially the same structural limitations as set forth in claim 1-9 of the instant application except claim 3-6 of the ('004) application also include a plurality of rotatable compressible plates mounted to the plenum. However, it is well known in the art to provide a plurality of compressible plates around the perimeter of a suction cup array as shown in the Gopfert patent (see Figs. 4-10) to assist in the positioning of the articles to be lifted. It would have been obvious to one or ordinary skill in the art to pivotally mount compressible plates around the suction cup assemblies set forth in claims1-9 of the instant application as taught by Gopfert in order to compress together the articles to be handled.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/814,920 Page 5

Art Unit: 3652

Specification

9. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: On page 7, line 14, there appear to be missing end quotation marks. Also, on page 7, line 22, it appears that the word "it" should be changed to –its--.

Appropriate correction is required.

Drawings

- 10. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference character "50" has been used to designate both an object to be lifted (see Fig. 1) and the head of the valve stem (see Fig. 6). Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filling date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 11. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: "51". Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the

Application/Control Number: 10/814,920 Page 6

Art Unit: 3652

immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Conclusion

12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Netsch et al. And Stone et al. both show bellowed cup assemblies with a moveable valve disposed therein.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dean J. Kramer whose telephone number is (571) 272-6926. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon., Tues., Thurs., Fri. (7:00-5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eileen Lillis can be reached on (571) 272-6928. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3652

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Dean J. Kramer Primary Examiner Art Unit 3652

djk 6/7/05